

Should YOU question vaccine science?

"Reason is a tool of critical thought that limits the truth of a statement according to the strict tests of the scientific method. For a statement to be considered true it must be testable (what evidence or repeatable experiments confirm it?), falsifiable (what, in theory, would disconfirm it, and have all attempts to disprove it failed?), parsimonious (is it the simplest explanation, requiring the fewest assumptions?), and logical (is it free of contradictions, non sequiturs, or irrelevant ad hominem character attacks?)."

Dan Barker, ffrf.org

On what do you base your trust in vaccine science?

Have you gone beneath the mantras of
"safe and effective"
"vaccines have been thoroughly tested"
"that theory has been debunked"
and read any of the full studies yourself, critically?

Who performs vaccine science?

The vaccine manufacturers who profit from vaccine sales.

What does the name Dr. Andrew Wakefield mean to you?

Why do you feel that way?

Have you ever gone beneath the headlines to read the full details?

Is it possible that Dr. Wakefield is a victim of the most successful
ad hominem character attack in history?

Decide for yourself: informedchoicewa.org/dr-andrew-wakefield/

"Merck made a "hit list" of doctors who criticized Vioxx, according to testimony in a Vioxx class action case in Australia. The list, emailed between Merck employees, contained doctors' names with the labels "neutralise," "neutralised" or "discredit" next to them."

<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/merck-created-hit-list-to-destroy-neutralize-or-discredit-dissenting-doctors/>

Merck makes the MMR vaccine

InformedChoiceWA.org