

To:

re: Recent vaccine mandates

I, and many law-abiding citizens are of the opinion that it is a bad idea to create legislation or issue mandates that are clearly against the spirit of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which states:

An Act

To enforce the constitutional right to vote, to confer jurisdiction upon the district courts of the United States to provide injunctive relief against discrimination in public accommodations, to authorize the Attorney General to institute suits to protect constitutional rights in public facilities and public education, to extend the Commission on Civil Rights, to prevent discrimination in federally assisted programs, to establish a Commission on Equal Employment Opportunity, and for other purposes.

The Act prohibited discrimination in public accommodations and federally funded programs. It also strengthened the enforcement of voting rights and the desegregation of schools. The Civil Rights Act of 1964 is the nation's benchmark civil rights legislation, and it continues to resonate in America.

TITLE II--INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AGAINST DISCRIMINATION IN PLACES OF PUBLIC ACCOMMODATION

SEC. 201. (a) All persons shall be entitled to the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, and privileges, advantages, and accommodations of any place of public accommodation, as defined in this section, without discrimination or segregation on the ground of race, color, religion, or national origin.

(2) any restaurant, cafeteria, lunchroom, lunch counter, soda fountain, or other facility principally engaged in selling food for consumption on the premises, including, but not limited to, any such facility located on the premises of any retail establishment; or any gasoline station;

(3) any motion picture house, theater, concert hall, sports arena, stadium or other place of exhibition or entertainment; and

(4) any establishment (A)(i) which is physically located within the premises of any establishment otherwise covered by this subsection, or (ii) within the premises of which is physically located any such covered establishment, and (B) which holds itself out as serving patrons of such covered establishment. <https://www.eeoc.gov/statutes/title-vii-civil-rights-act-1964>

Under the law there is no difference between a vaccinated and an un-vaccinated person. Requiring a citizen to take a vaccine in order to show a passport is discrimination. It creates a segregation of people who hold a belief that life-long natural immunity is better than an experimental emergency-use-only gene modification. This would fall under the grounds of religion because it is our God-given right to choose medical treatments or to refuse them without penalty. This is what is known as “informed consent.”

No vaccine has been shown to be 100% safe, therefore in my opinion, “If it is not safe for everyone, then it is not safe for anyone.”

I think we need to establish an addendum to the Civil Rights Act to include medical freedom as a

protected right.